

P.S. to the paper "Dirac type tensor equations with nonabelian gauge symmetries on pseudo-Riemannian space"

N.G.Marchuk

February 7, 2008

Abstract

We improve the concept of our previous paper "Dirac type tensor equations with nonabelian gauge symmetries on pseudo-Riemannian space" and present a new compact formula for the tensor B_μ .

PACS: 04.20Cv, 04.62, 11.15, 12.10

There is Theorem 2 in [1].

Theorem 2. *On the pseudo-Riemannian space $\mathcal{V}$ under consideration there exists the solution $H \in \Lambda_1$, $I, K \in \Lambda_2$, $B_\mu \in \Lambda_2 \top_1$ of the system of equations*

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu B_\nu - \mathcal{D}_\nu B_\mu + [B_\mu, B_\nu] = \frac{1}{2} C_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu H = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_\mu I = \mathcal{D}_\mu K = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$H^2 = 1, \quad I^2 = K^2 = -1, \quad [H, I] = [H, K] = \{I, K\} = 0. \quad (3)$$

Proving this theorem, I've presented in [1] explicit formulas for H, I, K via components $g_{\mu\nu}$ of metric tensor and for B_μ via $g_{\mu\nu}$ and its first partial derivatives (huge formulas written in Addendum). But from those formulas we can't conclude that H, I, K, B_μ are tensors (differential forms). Discussing the paper [1] with Prof. M. O. Katanaev, we arrive at understanding that tensors H, I, K that satisfy (3) must be taken as an additional structure

on the pseudo-Riemannian space $\mathcal{V}$. This point of view leads to the further development of the concept. Recently the following theorem was proved.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the pseudo-Riemannian space under consideration in [1] and let differential forms $H \in \Lambda_1$, $I, K \in \Lambda_2$ that satisfy (3) be defined on $\mathcal{V}$.

Theorem . *If we take*

$$\begin{aligned} B_\mu = & -\frac{3}{8}H\Upsilon_\mu H + \frac{1}{4}(I\Upsilon_\mu I + K\Upsilon_\mu K) + \frac{1}{8}H(I\Upsilon_\mu I + K\Upsilon_\mu K)H \\ & -\frac{1}{8}IKH\Upsilon_\mu H KI - \frac{1}{8}(KI\Upsilon_\mu I K + IK\Upsilon_\mu K I), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

then H, I, K, B_μ satisfy equalities (1,2), where $\mathcal{D}_\mu = \Upsilon_\mu - [B_\mu, \cdot]$.

Proof is by direct calculation using relations

$$\{\Upsilon_\mu H, H\} = \{\Upsilon_\mu I, I\} = \{\Upsilon_\mu K, K\} = 0, \quad \{\Upsilon_\mu K, I\} = -\{\Upsilon_\mu I, K\},$$

$$[\Upsilon_\mu H, I] = [\Upsilon_\mu I, H], \quad [\Upsilon_\mu H, K] = [\Upsilon_\mu K, H],$$

which follows from the equalities (3). It can be easily seen from the formula (4) that B_μ is a tensor, i.e., $B_\mu \in \Lambda_2 \top_1$. Therefore we arrive at tensors $H \in \Lambda_1$, $I, K \in \Lambda_2$, $B_\mu \in \Lambda_2 \top_1$ that satisfy (1-3) and we have the simple formula (4) for B_μ .

If we replace Theorem 2 of [1] by the above Theorem, then all results of [1] are valid.

References

- [1] Marchuk N.G., Dirac type tensor equations with nonabelian gauge symmetries on pseudo-Riemannian space, Nuovo Cimento B, to appear.